



Original article

DOI 10.20527/twj.v8i2.111

## Diversity of Arthropod at Soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr) with Different Planting Distances

Helda Orbani Rosa, Muhammad Indar Pramudi\*, Rinita Wulandari, and As'ari

Study Program of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarbaru, Indonesia

\* Correspondence: [indar\\_pramudi@yahoo.com](mailto:indar_pramudi@yahoo.com)

Received: 25 July 2022; Accepted: 20 January 2023; Published: 31 January 2023

### ABSTRACT

This study observed the spacing effect on arthropod diversity in soybean from November 2017 to January 2018. The treatments were arranged in a randomized block design, with five replications and five different spacings: A - 20x20 cm, B - 20x30 cm, C - 20x40 cm, D 20x50 cm, and E - 20x60 cm. The highest arthropods were found at the narrowest spacing (20x 20 cm) and the lowest at the broadest spacing (20x60 cm). Soybean plantations in the study area had a medium category for diversity index (H'), an even category for evenness index (E), and a low category for dominance index (D). All types of relative index values did not differ between treatments.

**Keywords:** Arthropod, Diversity, Plant Spacing

### 1. Introduction

One of the biotic components that compose the soybean agroecosystem is the arthropod group. Plants and arthropods are the critical components of ecosystem function and stability. Plant material may be seen as herbivorous, while pollen and nectar support pollinators and supplement the diet of entomophagous arthropods, and plant structures provide shelter for various organisms (Duru et al., 2015; Kaiser et al., 2017). Arthropods perform as bioindicators for disturbances due to their short cycle times and rapid response to environmental changes (Ghannem et al., 2018; Menta & Remelli, 2020). As consumers, arthropods include many critical functional groups, namely herbivores, pollinators, detritivores, predators, and parasitoids.

The arthropod community structure in an ecosystem is constantly changing, as are the components associated with food webs. The interaction between biotic and abiotic components in the ecosystem will affect mortality, natality, and distribution of arthropods in the ecosystem. Therefore, the species composition is always dynamic. Improper human intervention in agricultural cultivation systems will cause problems.

The presence of herbivores on agricultural land is influenced by plant varieties, cropping patterns (including spacing), substances that affect arthropod behavior, and pesticides. Different spacing causes different plant densities, thus creating different microclimates. This will affect the number of arthropods in the ecosystem. Determination of the proper spacing in cultivation will provide optimal growth and yields. In addition, it is expected provide a suitable environment for the life of arthropods, beneficial arthropods, which are expected to develop to suppress the herbivorous population.

### 2. Materials and Methods

Arthropod samples were collected from soybean plantations in Sukamara Village, Landasan Ulin District, Banjarbaru City, South Kalimantan, Indonesia, from November 2017 to January 2018.

The treatments were arranged in a randomized block design with five spacing treatments and five replications. The five treatments were: A as control -20x20 cm, B -20x30 cm, C - 20x40 cm, D -20x50 cm, and E - 20x60 cm. Each plot was 2x2 m with a distance between plots of 1 m.

Arthropods were collected using the pitfall trap and sweep net method. The captured arthropods were collected in vials containing 70% alcohol and identified at the Entomology Laboratory of the Faculty of Agriculture, Lambung Mangkurat University. Identification referred to the identification book according to Lilies, 1991; Borror et al., 1992a; Suin, 1997. Then arthropods were grouped into herbivores, predators, parasitoids, decomposers, or other insects.

The samples obtained were then counted their number of orders, families, and individuals. The relative density ( $pi$ ) of insects was calculated using the comparison between the number of  $i$ -th individual and the total number of individuals from all types of families multiplied by 100% (Magurran, 2004). The diversity of insects was calculated based on Shannon-Wiener diversity index ( $H'$ ). The type of evenness was calculated based on Shannon-Wiener's evenness Index ( $E$ ) (Krebs, 1999). The dominance of insects was calculated based on Simpson dominance index ( $D$ ). The index equation is as follows:

$$pi = \frac{ni}{N} \times 100\%$$

$$H' = - \sum_{i=1}^s (pi)(\ln pi)$$

$$E = H' / \ln S$$

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^s (ni/N)^2$$

Note:

$ni$  = the  $i$ -th individuals in the family

$N$  = The total number of individuals of all types of families

$S$  = The number of types of families

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### *Arthropod abundance*

The total number of arthropods captured by pitfall traps and sweep nets with five sampling times in the five spacing treatment plots was 2353 individuals, included in 10-11 orders and 25-29 families (Table 1). Treatment with a planting distance of 20x20 cm (treatment A) had the highest abundance of arthropods, namely 620 individuals. The least abundance of arthropods was found at a spacing of 20x60 cm (treatment E), which was 373 individuals. The abundance of the order Hymenoptera occupies the highest position among other orders (Table 2).

Table 1. Number of individuals, orders, and families of Arthropods in soybean with different spacing

Number of Arthropods	Treatment					Total of Individuals
	A	B	C	D	E	
Individual	620	506	427	427	373	2353
Order	11	10	11	10	10	-
Family	28	25	29	25	26	-

Note: A-20x20 cm, B -20x30 cm, C - 20x40 cm, D-20x 50 cm, E - 20x 60 cm

Table 2. The abundance of orders in Arthropod in soybean with different spacing

No	Order	Abundance of Arthropod (individual)				
		A	B	C	D	E
1	Orthoptera	110	87	88	57	59
2	Hemiptera	63	63	52	69	48
3	Lepidoptera	47	43	28	29	26
4	Coleoptera	58	44	44	45	36
5	Diptera	4	3	3	3	2

6	Odonata	1	3	2	1	1
7	Hymenoptera	<b>278</b>	215	171	176	138
8	Scolopendromorpha	1	0	1	0	0
9	Araneae	36	31	24	25	46
10	Dermaptera	9	7	5	5	7
11	Diplopoda/Millipede	13	10	9	17	10
Total		620	506	427	427	373

#### Relative Population Density

The order Hymenoptera had the highest relative population density for arthropods in soybean cultivation (44.84%) (Table 3). The Formicidae family of the order Hymenoptera had the highest relative density (Table 4).

Table 3. Relative population density of arthropod orders in soybean with different spacing

No	Order	Relative population (%)				
		A	B	C	D	E
1	Orthoptera	17.74	17.19	20.61	13.35	15.82
2	Hemiptera	10.16	12.45	12.18	16.16	12.87
3	Lepidoptera	7.58	8.50	6.56	6.79	6.97
4	Coleoptera	9.35	8.70	10.30	10.54	9.65
5	Diptera	0.65	0.59	0.70	0.70	0.54
6	Odonata	0.16	0.59	0.47	0.23	0.27
7	Hymenoptera	44.84	42.49	40.05	41.22	37.00
8	Scolopendromorpha	0.16	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00
9	Araneae	5.81	6.13	5.62	5.85	12.33
10	Dermaptera	1.45	1.38	1.17	1.17	1.88
11	Diplopoda	2.10	1.98	2.11	3.98	2.68

Table 4. Relative population density of arthropod families in soybean with different spacing

No	Family	Relative population (%)				
		A	B	C	D	E
<b>Orthoptera</b>						
1	Tetrigidae (Her)	5.48	5.34	6.56	5.39	4.56
2	Pyrgomorphidae (Her)	10.81	9.68	11.94	6.79	9.38
3	Gryllidae (Pre)	1.45	2.17	2.11	1.17	1.88
<b>Hemiptera</b>						
4	Miridae (Her)	3.39	3.95	1.41	2.81	4.02
5	Pentatomidae (Her)	1.94	2.96	2.58	2.11	2.68
6	Aleyrodidae (Her)	0.32	0.00	0.47	0.23	0.27
7	Alydidae (Her)	2.90	4.35	6.56	9.37	4.83
8	Aphididae (Her)	0.16	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	Pseudococcidae (Her)	1.45	0.99	1.17	1.64	1.07
<b>Lepidoptera</b>						
10	Lymantriidae (Her)	2.10	1.58	0.70	0.94	0.54
11	Pieridae (Her)	0.16	0.20	0.47	0.00	0.54
12	Nymphalidae (Her)	0.81	0.40	0.70	0.70	0.54
13	Pyralidae (Her)	3.23	3.16	3.28	3.04	3.75
14	Noctuidae (Her)	1.29	3.16	1.41	2.11	1.61
<b>Coleoptera</b>						

15	Chrysomelidae (Her)	0.32	0.59	0.23	0.47	0.54
16	Coccinellidae (Pre)	2.26	2.96	3.28	2.34	4.02
17	Staphylinidae (Pre)	4.84	3.16	5.62	5.39	3.22
18	Carabidae (Pre)	1.94	1.98	1.17	2.34	1.88
<b>Diptera</b>						
19	Agromyzidae (Her)	0.16	0.40	0.47	0.47	0.54
20	Syrphidae (Pre)	0.48	0.20	0.23	0.23	0.00
<b>Odonata</b>						
21	Chlorogomphidae (Pre)	0.00	0.59	0.23	0.00	0.27
22	Coenagrionoidae (Pre)	0.16	0.00	0.23	0.23	0.00
<b>Hymenoptera</b>						
23	Formicidae (Pre)	44.35	42.29	39.11	40.75	35.66
24	Braconidae (Par)	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.23	0.54
25	Bethylidae (Par)	0.16	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.27
26	Eulophidae (Par)	0.32	0.20	0.23	0.23	0.54
<b>Scolopendromorpha</b>						
27	Sclopndridae (Pre)	0.16	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00
<b>Araneae</b>						
28	Lycosidae (Pre)	5.81	6.13	5.62	5.85	2.33
<b>Dermaptera</b>						
29	Anisolabididae (Pre)	1.45	1.38	1.17	1.17	1.88
<b>Diplopoda</b>						
30	Juluidae (Dec)	2.10	1.98	2.11	3.98	2.68

Note: Herbivore (Her), Predator (Pre), Parasitoid (Par), Decomposer (Dec)

Diversity index ( $H'$ ), evenness index ( $E$ ), and dominance index ( $D$ ). The diversity index ( $H'$ ) in soybean plantations with spacing treatment was categorized as moderate ( $H' = 2.22-2.40$ ). Evenness index ( $E$ ) in soybean planting with spacing treatment ranged from 0.67-0.74. The Simpson index value ( $D$ ) obtained on soybean plantations with spacing treatment was categorized in the low range ( $D = 0.16-0.22$ ) (Table 5).

Table 5. Diversity ( $H'$ ), Evenness ( $E$ ) and Dominance ( $D$ ) Index in Soybean with different spacing

Indeks	Treatment				
	A	B	C	D	E
$H'$	2.22	2.28	2.33	2.30	2.40
$E$	0.67	0.71	0.69	0.71	0.74
$D$	0.22	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.16

The Ecological Role of Arthropods. The proportions of each arthropod role are presented in Table 6. The highest proportion is occupied by arthropods that act as predators and follows by herbivores.

Table 6. Proportion of arthropods in soybean with different spacing

Role of arthropod	A	B	C	D	E
	Proportion (%)	Proportion (%)	Proportion (%)	Proportion (%)	Proportion (%)
Predator	62.90	60.87	59.02	59.48	61.13
Parasitoid	0.48	0.20	0.94	0.47	1.34
Herbivore	34.52	36.96	37.94	36.07	34.85
Decomposer	2.10	1.98	2.11	3.98	2.68

The highest abundance of arthropods was found in the treatment with the closest spacing and the lowest abundance was found in the treatment the widest spacing. Presumably it occurred because the spacing in treatment A was the densest, which provides abundant food, especially in the early or vegetative phase, and the temperature tended to be lower. A high plant population and close spacing will make the plants grow very lush. It causes a microclimate in crops and increases vulnerability to the development of herbivorous populations, and affects the development of predators (Nurindah & Sunarto, 2006). The widest spacing, the availability of food for pests is low because the spacing in treatment E is wide enough. Insect pests tend to move and choose places with high plant populations, and predatory arthropods will choose places where the presence of prey, insect pests, is abundant (Albatsi et al., 2018).

It is also supported by the research of (Albatsi et al., 2018; Buchanan et al., 2015; McPherson & Bondari, 1991; Momtaz et al., 2018) on cotton, which stated that spacing affected the abundance of arthropods, i.e., narrow spacing showed a higher abundance of arthropods. However, several other studies (Anderson & Yeorgan, 1998; Lam & Pedigo, 1998) did not show any effect of plant spacing on the abundance of predatory and herbivorous arthropods. The interpretation of these previous studies indicates that there is no definite conclusion drawn as to whether plant spacing can have a significant effect on the abundance of arthropods. The effect of plant spacing on the abundance of herbivores and arthropods has never been established in one literature. Some species are more abundant in conventional plant spacing; some are more abundant in narrow plant spacing, while many other studies do not show significant differences. The effect of row spacing on arthropod abundance is not consistent across all arthropod functional groups (Buchanan et al., 2015). This phenomenon is caused by many factors, including plant density related to the spatial structure/plant canopy (Lam & Pedigo, 1998) and habitat structure or microclimate (temperature and relative humidity) (Buchanan et al., 2015; Momtaz et al., 2018).

The presence of Hymenoptera in agricultural ecosystems generally acts as natural enemies, namely predators and parasitoids. Natural enemy groups can influence the population dynamics of herbivorous insects in the soybean agroecosystems and prevent the development of herbivorous insect populations from reaching a detrimental status (Liu et al., 2004; Purwanta & Rauf, 2000). The presence of natural enemies will be affected by the presence of herbivores and the presence of herbivores will be influenced by the presence of host plants in an ecosystem (Inayat et al., 2011).

Hymenoptera and Formicidae are orders and families with the highest relative densities. Formicidae is a member of the order Hymenoptera that behaves in colonies on or in the soil (Borror et al., 1992) and is known as a common and widespread insect. Formicidae has a vast range and very diverse types of feed (Wetterer, 2008), making it possible to survive and breed more rapidly than others. Some of the roles of the Formicidae group are as decomposers, pollinators, soil watermakers, and predators (Falahudin, 2013; Tawatao, 2014). Due to their roles, Formicidae is almost scattered in all habitats, such as in agricultural ecosystems such as rice plants (Kurniawati & Martono, 2015), vegetables (Kurniawati & Martono, 2015; Nurmawanti et al., 2020; Putra & Utami, 2020), peanuts (Apriliyanto & Sarno, 2018), cassava (Elhayati et al., 2017), and plantations such as oil palm (Fitria, 2013; Romarta et al., 2020). According to (Borror et al., 1992), Formicidae is the most successful family of all insect groups. They are practically found in a variety of terrestrial habitats and outnumber most other land animals.

Diversity index ( $H'$ ) in soybean with spacing treatment was categorized as moderate. (Odum, 1971) statement, the diversity in the population is stated to be moderate if  $1 < H' \leq 3$ , which means that the types of arthropods in the five treatments are quite diverse, and the ecosystem is in a stable condition. The moderate diversity in the research area could occur because chemical and non-chemical controls were not carried out. Pest management with pesticides also affects the decline in species diversity (Sujianto, 2018). The natural diversity of arthropods is also closely related to plants' phenology or climatic and environmental aspects in the community (Pedigo & Buntin, 1994).

The value of evenness index ( $E$ ) in soybean with spacing is close to 1, meaning that the distribution of individuals is quite even, but the condition of the community is less stable. According to (Krebs, 1999), if  $0.5 < E \leq 0.75$ , then the community is in a less stable condition. According to (Astriani et al., 2014) the value of  $E$  ranges from 0-1. The greater the value of  $E$  and close to 1, then no type of individual dominates. On the contrary, the smaller the value of  $E$ , the smaller the population uniformity. It means that the distribution of individuals in each species is different, and there is a tendency for one individual to

dominate. Evenness value will tend to be high if the population of one family does not dominate other families. On the other hand, evenness tends to be low when one family dominates another population.

The higher the value of E, the better the state of the ecosystem. However, when the E value is higher than one continuously, an adverse effect on carnivorous insects (predators) for the next generation will occur. This is because the population will drop drastically if there is a shortage of prey for a long time (Mahrub, 1998).

Index value dominance (D) on soybean with spacing treatment was categorized in the low range. (Odum, 1971) stated that if the value is  $0 < D \leq 0.5$ , then the dominance is categorized as low. It indicates that this soybean crop has diverse arthropod species, and no arthropod species dominates. It is in line with the statement of (Odum, 1971) and (Sanjaya & Dibiyantoro, 2012), which stated that if the dominance index value is  $< 1$ , then the insect species is categorized as diverse. On the contrary, if the dominance index value = 1, then the insect species are not diverse.

The index value of each treatment is in the same category, both the diversity index, the evenness index, and the dominance index. This means that the difference in plant spacing does not provide a significant difference to all index values.

Arthropods have a vital role in the food chain, especially as decomposers, because nature will not be able to recycle organic matter without these organisms. Moreover, arthropods act as predators for other small predators so that they will maintain the survival of other arthropods. Identifying the abundance and diversity of species is essential to understand the role of organisms in the environment.

Arthropods associated with soybeans in the research area have different roles, including predators, parasitoids, herbivores, and decomposers. It reveals that the diversity of communities will form food webs. It is explained that the more species that make up a community, the more diverse the community. The types of arthropods in the population will interact with each other to form a food web.

The predator population follows the prey population (herbivores). This high population of herbivores attracts predators to come and live in the area so that the level of predation also increases. The presence of abundant prey makes it easy to find by predators. Stated that the high predator population is closely related to the prey population. Natural enemies, including predators, are one of the determining factors for high and low pest populations. On the contrary, the abundance of hosts will affect the abundance of natural enemies.

Arthropods that act as parasitoids are found in small numbers because their habitat and life activities are not always on the ground surface. Parasitoids on the soil surface are only for laying eggs, and then when they are adults, they will come out of the soil.

#### 4. Conclusions

The highest abundance of arthropods was found at the narrowest plant spacing (20x20 cm) and the lowest at the broadest plant spacing (20x60 cm). Soybean plantations in the study area had a medium category for diversity index (H'), an even category for evenness index (E), and a low category for dominance index (D). All types of relative index values did not differ between treatments.

#### References

- Albatsi, I. S., Maesyaroh, S. S., & Tauhid, A. (2018). Pengaruh jarak tanam dan varietas terhadap keragaman serangga serta hasil pada tanaman padi. *Jagros*, 2(2), 99–118.
- Anderson, A. C., & Yeagan, K. V. (1998). Influence of soybean canopy closure on predator abundances and predation on *Helicoverpa zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) eggs. *Environmental Entomology*, 27(6), 1488–1495.
- Apriliyanto, E., & Sarno, S. (2018). Pemantauan Keanekaragaman Hama dan Musuh Alami pada Ekosistem Tepi dan Tengah Tanaman Kacang Tanah (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Majalah Ilmiah Biologi Biosfera: A Scientific Journal*, 35(2), 69–74.
- Astriani, F., Fibriarti, B. L., Zul, D., Program, M., Bidang, B., Jurusan, M., Fakultas, B., Dan, M., Pengetahuan, I., Kampus Bina, A., & Pekanbaru, W. (2014). Seleksi Isolat Jamur dalam Menghasilkan Hormon IAA (Indol Acetic Acid) Asal Tanah Gambut Rimbo Panjang Kabupaten Kampar. *Jom Fmipa*, 1(2), 1–11.
- Borror, D. J., Triplehorn, C. A., & Johnson, N. F. (1992a). *An introduction to the study of insects* 6 th Ed. New York, Saunders College Publishing.

- Borrer, D. J., Triplehorn, C. A., & Johnson, N. J. (1992b). Pengenalan pelajaran serangga. Gadjah Mada University.
- Buchanan, A. L., Zobel, E., Hinds, J., Rosario-Lebron, A., & Hooks, C. R. R. (2015). Can row spacing influence arthropod communities in soybean? Implications for early and late planting. *Environmental Entomology*, 44(3), 557–561.
- Duru, M., Therond, O., Martin, G., Martin-Clouaire, R., Magne, M.-A., Justes, E., Journet, E.-P., Aubertot, J.-N., Savary, S., & Bergez, J.-E. (2015). How to implement biodiversity-based agriculture to enhance ecosystem services: a review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 35(4), 1259–1281.
- Elhayati, N., Hariri, A., Wibowo, L., & Fitriana, Y. (2017). Keanekaragaman arthropoda permukaan tanah pada pertanaman ubikayu (*Manihot utilissima* Pohl.) setelah perlakuan olah tanah dan pengelolaan gulma. *Jurnal Agrotek Tropika*, 5(3).
- Falahudin, A. (2013). Kajian kekenyalan dan kandungan protein bakso menggunakan campuran daging sapi dengan tepung jamur tiram putih (*Pleurotus ostreatus*). *Jurnal Ilmu Pertanian Dan Peternakan*, 1(2), 1–9.
- Fitria, N. (2013). Komunitas Semut Pada Bunga Jantan Kelapa Sawit di Kebun Cimulang PTPN VIII Bogor, Jawa Barat.
- Ghannem, S., Touaylia, S., & Boumaiza, M. (2018). Beetles (Insecta: Coleoptera) as bioindicators of the assessment of environmental pollution. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal*, 24(2), 456–464.
- Inayat, T. P., Rana, S. A., Rana, N., Ruby, T., Siddiqi, M. J. I., & Khan, M. N. A. (2011). Predator-prey relationship among selected species in the croplands of central Punjab, Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 48(2), 149–153.
- Kaiser, L., Ode, P., van Nouhuys, S., Calatayud, P.-A., Colazza, S., Cortesero, A.-M., Thiel, A., & Van Baaren, J. (2017). The plant as a habitat for entomophagous insects. In *Advances in Botanical Research* (Vol. 81, pp. 179–223). Elsevier.
- Krebs, C. J. (1999). *Ecological methodology* (Issue 574.5072 K7).
- Kurniawati, N., & Martono, E. (2015). Peran Tumbuhan Berbunga sebagai Media Konservasi Artropoda Musuh Alami (The Role of Flowering Plants in Conserving Arthropod Natural Enemies). *Jurnal Perlindungan Tanaman Indonesia*, 19(2), 53–59.
- Lam, W.-K. F., & Pedigo, L. P. (1998). Response of soybean insect communities to row width under crop-residue management systems. *Environmental Entomology*, 27(5), 1069–1079.
- Lilies, C. (1991). Kunci determinasi serangga. Kanisius. Yogyakarta, 223.
- Liu, J., Wu, K., Hopper, K. R., & Zhao, K. (2004). Population dynamics of *Aphis glycines* (Homoptera: Aphididae) and its natural enemies in soybean in northern China. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 97(2), 235–239.
- Magurran, A. (2004). *Measuring Biological Diversity*. (Blackwell Publishing: Malden, MA.).
- Mahrub, E. (1998). Arthropod community structure in rice ecosystem without insecticide treatment. *Jurnal Perlindungan Tanaman Indonesia (Indonesia)*, 4(1), 19–27.
- McPherson, R. M., & Bondari, K. (1991). Influence of planting date and row width on abundance of velvetbean caterpillars (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and southern green stink bugs (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) in soybean. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 84(1), 311–316.
- Menta, C., & Remelli, S. (2020). Soil health and arthropods: From complex system to worthwhile investigation. *Insects*, 11(1), 54.
- Momtaz, M. B., Yeasmin, K., Khatun, M. R., & Ahmad, M. (2018). Impact of plant spacing on population dynamics of sucking pest of cotton. *Journal of Environmental Science and Natural Resources*, 11(1–2), 241–243.
- Nurinda. Sunarto, 2006. (2006). Efektivitas beberapa predator terhadap *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) pada kapas tumpangsari dengan kedelai. *Jurnal Penelitian Tanaman Industri*, 12(3), 109–115.
- Nurmayanti, S., Sakti, D. P. B., & Agustiani, E. (2020). Pembentukan Kelompok Usaha Bersama (KUBE) Berbasis Potensi Lokal Dalam Rangka Pemberdayaan Masyarakat. *Abdi Insani*, 7(2), 200–203.
- Odum, P. E. (1971). *Dasar-dasar Ekologi*. Terjemahan Ir. Tjahjono Samingan. Cet. 2. Gadjah Mada University Press. Yogyakarta.
- Pedigo, L. P., & Buntin, G. D. (1994). *Handbook of sampling methods for arthropods in agriculture* (Issues 04; SB933. 14, P4.). CRC press Boca Raton, FL.

- Purwanta, F., & Rauf, A. (2000). Effect of insecticide application on predators and parasitoids on soybean crop in Cianjur. *Bulletin of Plant Pests and Diseases*, 12, 35–43.
- Putra, I. L. I., & Utami, L. B. (2020). Keanekaragaman Serangga Musuh Alami Pada Tanaman Cabai Di Desa Wiyoro, Kecamatan Banguntapan, Kabupaten Bantul, Yogyakarta. *Al-Kaunyah: Jurnal Biologi*, 13(1), 51–62.
- Romarta, R., Yaherwandi, Y., & Efendi, S. (2020). Keanekaragaman Semut Musuh Alami (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) pada Perkebunan Kelapa Sawit Rakyat di Kecamatan Timpeh Kabupaten Dharmasraya. *Agrikultura*, 31(1), 42–51.
- Sanjaya, Y., & Dibiyantoro, A. L. H. (2012). Keragaman serangga pada tanaman cabai (*Capsicum annum*) yang diberi pestisida sintesis versus biopestisida racun laba-laba (*Nephila* sp.). *Jurnal Hama Dan Penyakit Tumbuhan Tropika*, 12(2), 192–199.
- Suin, N. M. (1997). *Ecology of soil fauna*. Jakarta, Bumi Aksara.
- Sujianto, A. E. (2018). Pendidikan Kewirausahaan Melalui Pelatihan Produksi Tahu Dan Kerupuk Okara Bagi Ibu Rumah Tangga Desa Bendiljati Kulon Kabupaten Tulungagung. *J-ADIMAS (Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat)*, 6(1), 27–34.
- Tawatao, N. B. (2014). *Basic biology and ecology of Ants*.
- Wetterer, J. K. (2008). Worldwide spread of the longhorn crazy ant, *Paratrechina longicornis* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Myrmecological News*, 11, 137–149.